

REMARKS

These remarks are submitted in reply to the Office Action dated September 11, 2007. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and further examination of the patent application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111.

Claims 1 – 20 remain in the present application. Based on the remarks herein, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections.

I. Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 11, 16 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3 – 5, 10, 11 and 20 have been amended to address the various objections and rejections.

II. Claims 1 - 6, 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lupper, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0171112. Claims 7 - 9, 16, 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Lupper, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0171112, in view of Lupien, U.S. Patent No. 6,463,055. Claims 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupper, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0171112, in view of Chan, U.S. Patent No. 7,197,765. Claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupper, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0171112, in view of Kalavade, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0051041. Claim 19 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupper, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0171112, in view of Lupien, U.S. Patent No. 6,461,055, and further in view of Kalavade, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0051041.

Applicant has amended claims 1 to include a “one-time entropy generated password” and the independent claims 14 and 19 to include “a one-time use” password. Support for this amendment is found at least in the Abstract and throughout the detailed description. Applicant respectfully submits that none of the art cited in the present office action teaches a one-time use password. Indeed the password of the present cited art uses an assigned password that is inflexible and not operable in embodiments of the present invention. A randomly entropy generated password is required for operation in the LANs without previously set up accounts as provided in the present invention.

As all independent claims have been amended to include this limitation and as none of the art cited in support of the rejections set forth in the September 11, 2007 office action teach this limitation, Applicant respectfully submits the rejection of claims 1, 10 and 14 and claims that depend there from have been traversed.

CONCLUSION

In light of at least the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1 – 5, 7, and 9 – 25 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. *The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.*

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number 50-4238.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number: 59796

Date: March 11, 2008

by: /s/James S. Finn/Reg. No., 38,450/
James S. Finn
Reg. No. 38,450
Attorney for Assignee Intel Corporation

Intel Corporation
c/o Intellevate, LLC
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402
202-607-4607